

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/722,794	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LI, CHUNG-SHUAN	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sara Clarke	<b>Art Unit</b> 3749	

**All Participants:**

(1) Sara Clarke.

(2) Brian Hameder.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 January 2005
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**
*none*
**Claims discussed:**
*all*
**Prior art documents discussed:**
*none*
**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*For reasons unknown, a portion of the faxed amendment received 12/8/04 was not clearly discernible such that the examiner believed the printing of a patent from the amendment could be flawed. The examiner asked Mr. Hameder to fax a copy of the claims for attachment to an examiner's amendment. The fax cover sheet and pages 1 and 2 of the amendment are attached to the interview summary.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)